

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/572,578	Applicant(s) NAGANAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner BINTA M. ROBINSON	Art Unit 1625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BINTA M. ROBINSON. (3)_____.

(2) Attorney Nyeemah Grazier. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 4/28/09;5/15/09.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,12-15 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Grazier on 4/28/09 agreed to cancel claims 12-15, 18 and on 5/15/09 agreed to correct the misspelling of "dixin" to "dioxin" in claim 11 and everywhere in the specification where "dixin" appeared.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Binta M Robinson/
Examiner, Art Unit 1625